

Vancouver Centre of Excellence



Research on Immigration and Integration in the Metropolis

Working Paper Series

#98-05

Immigration, Labour Force Integration and the Pursuit of Self-employment

Fernando Mata and Ravi Pendakur

January 1998

RIIM

Research on Immigration and Integration in the Metropolis

The Vancouver Centre is funded by grants from the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada, Citizenship & Immigration Canada, Simon Fraser University, the University of British Columbia and the University of Victoria. We also wish to acknowledge the financial support of the Metropolis partner agencies:

- Health Canada
- Human Resources Development Canada
- Department of Canadian Heritage
- Department of the Solicitor General of Canada
- Status of Women Canada
- Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation
- Correctional Service of Canada
- Immigration & Refugee Board

Views expressed in this manuscript are those of the author(s) alone. For more information contact the Co-Directors of the Centre, Dr. Don DeVoretz, Department of Economics, SFU (e-mail: devoretz@sfu.ca) or Dr. David Ley, Department of Geography, UBC (e-mail: davidley@unixg.ubc.ca).

Immigration, Labour Force Integration and the Pursuit of Self-employment¹

Fernando Mata and Ravi Pendakur
Research and Business Planning
Multiculturalism Canada
Department of Canadian Heritage

Fernando_Mata@PCH.GC.CA

Ravi_Pendakur@PCH.GC.CA

Using correspondence analysis we look at age-education cohorts of male immigrants who arrived in Canada between 1945 and 1961 and compare them to similar age-education groups of Canadian-born males in order to examine shifts in employment patterns across four census periods. We find that immigrants with low levels of schooling consistently had higher rates of self-employment than similar groups of Canadian-born males, and that the longer they stayed in Canada, the more likely they were to become self employed. We posit that the pursuit of self-employment may be tied to the existence of a segmented labour market particularly for immigrants with low and moderate levels of schooling.

Introduction:

Recently, in Canada, the area of self-employment has received increased attention both politically and in the media. Parliamentarians, for example, speak about unleashing the power of the entrepreneur, or the importance of small business to economic prosperity. In the case of immigration, this interest is carried through to a policy level because the Immigration Act places special status on self-employment through the immigrant entrepreneur program which is touted as a means to increase investment in Canada and bolster the number of independent businesses. This link between self-employment and immigration, however, goes far beyond the confines of the immigrant entrepreneurship program. This is because immigrants in general have been both more likely to be active in the labour force, and, more likely to be self-employed than is the case for Canadian-born workers (Pendakur 1996: 3).

¹. We wish to thank our friends and colleagues who have commented on previous drafts of this paper: Jenna Hennebray and Judith Moe as well as three anonymous reviewers.

While the pursuit of self-employment among immigrants has generated political interest, academic interest in Canada has been marginal as few systematic studies have examined the self-employed population. It is possible, that this lack of interest is due in part to the decline in self-employment witnessed between the 1930s and 1970s (see Gardiner: 1994; see also Crompton: 1993). Another possible cause stems from the prevailing view that earnings from self-employment are difficult to measure. For these and other reasons, many researchers interested in income issues, ignored or omitted the self-employed population from their studies.

However, ignoring the self-employment sector may be a mistake in the case of immigrants because if as a group they are more likely to be self-employed and if the propensity to enter self-employment increases over time, studies which focus solely on the wage labour market may misrepresent the economic progress immigrants make over time. Further, as Gardiner (1994: 56) points out, the last two decades have seen a renaissance in self-employment as the proportion of self-employed workers in non-agricultural industries has increased.

It is well known that immigrants have higher propensities to enter self-employment than is the case for native-born workers (see Beaujot et al, 1994; Marger et al, 1992; Maxim, 1992). What may not be as well understood are the socio-economic correlates for entering self-employment and the differentials by immigrant status. We hypothesized that this propensity is related to differences in age, education and industry sector. Thus, we expected that different cohorts of immigrants and Canadian-born workers will gravitate toward different self-employment niches across the labour force. Using a cohort analysis approach, we looked at the changing labour force roles of Canadian-born males and male immigrants who arrived in six of Canada's major centres, between 1945 and 1961.² We looked at these shifts in relation to personal attributes such as age and education and the dominant labour force shifts which took place between 1961 and 1991. In doing so, we examined an entire working life cycle. Further, we are able to suggest reasons for shifts in self-employment rates based on criteria which go beyond a single point in time, and increase our understanding of why immigrants may make the leap to self-employment.

². We attempted to look at female labour force participation however, we found that it was far more difficult to draw conclusions about women because labour force participation rates were much lower than is the case today. In 1961, only 40% of Canadian-born women and 48% of immigrant women arriving between 1945 and 1961 were active in the labour force. Although participation had increased to 73% and 68% respectively by 1981, it is difficult to argue that we are talking about the same type of individuals from one census period to another. In comparison the participation rate for males remained at 94% for the Canadian-born and 97% for immigrants from 1961 to 1981.

Immigrants and self-employment

The study of self-employment among immigrants in the United States is both reasonably well developed and multidisciplinary, examining issues such as economic benefit, skill transfer, enclave economies, split or segmented labour markets and situational concerns like historical circumstance (see Papademetriou, et al: 1989, 169-175). Scholars examining economic issues have suggested that differences in expected income between salaried and self-employment play a major role in the decision to move into self-employment. Bearnse (1984), for example, found that immigrants can gain higher incomes through self-employment (see also Sullivan: 1988). However, a number of economists who have ventured into the arena have concluded the opposite. Economists such as George Borjas (1990), for example, looking at income returns to self-employment concluded that entrepreneurship does not offer any significant advantage to wage labour. Rather, he concludes that once demographic characteristics are included in the model, the returns to self-employment are about the same as those in the wage labour sector (see also Bates and Dunham: 1991).

Real or expected income differences may not be the sole determining factors in the decision to enter self-employment. Some of the alternative reasons for entering self-employment have been explored by sociologists looking at structural barriers which force immigrants to seek alternatives to wage labour. These barriers include lost human capital as a product of the migration process. Such losses can take a number of forms such as inability to speak the dominant language, non-recognition of foreign earned credentials or the loss of a network to contacts and other business associates. It is possible that some human capital can be regained over time -- people can learn the dominant language or get retrained if necessary. However, barriers can also take the form of discrimination by members of the host society in which case, the loss may be permanent. As a result of both types of barriers, immigrants may choose to move into self-employment more readily than Canadian-born workers (see Yoon: 1995; Portes: 1987; Portes and Zhou: 1996; Beaujot et al: 1994). In addition, for some workers, low levels of schooling may limit the set of occupational choices available for them. "Bleak" social mobility prospects in combination with feelings of disappointment with a society that does not recognize their abilities may therefore make self-employment a desirable option.

Still others view self-employment as a natural extension of the ethnic enclave which offers both stability and employment to group members. Within this context, owners of small businesses draw on members of the enclave as a source of workers, while group members gravitate toward the enclave as a source of employment and ethnic solidarity (see Bonacich: 1973). The enclave thereby allows immigrants to gain human capital within the confines of the ethnic community, which can then be expanded for use in the broader society. Related to the concept of ethnic enclaves is the issue of split or segmented labour markets. Segmentation analysis of the labour market offers an understanding of the labour force as being segmented by different skill requirements, and to a degree, into so called 'good' jobs and 'bad' jobs (England et al., 1988: 546).

There have been few studies which employ a segmentation approach to look at immigrant participation in the labour force. Those that have, generally conclude that one's initial position in the labour force is critical in determining future opportunities for advancement because the labour

market is recognized as being structurally divided with different sectors having different wage, stability and competitive characteristics, as well as differing opportunities for mobility (Porter, 1965; Lautard and Loree, 1984; Darroch, 1979). They also conclude that immigrants are often concentrated in the periphery. Campbell, Fincher and Webber (1991), for example, found that the majority of immigrants in Australia are employed in the competitive sector (jobs in construction tend to be classified as competitive sector jobs). Unfortunately, the interface between segmentation and self-employment is often forgotten.

There has been less research in the area of self-employment done in Canada. Past research in Canada as well as in other countries of the industrialized world has shown that the pursuit of self-employment is not necessarily uniform across all immigrant segments. It varies according to the age of the immigrants, the specific mix of their education and labour skills as well as with the types of work being sought. The economic climate in the host country at the time of arrival also plays a major role in determining this outcome. The type of labour demands predominant in each industrial sector, economic cycles and specific characteristics in the industrial makeup are examples of these type of factors. Given that each cohort of immigrants admitted to the host country is likely to be also different with respect to both internal and external conditions, it is expected that the observed self-employment propensities will be specific to each cohort.

Recently Beaujot, et al (1994) tested the degree to which immigrants in Canada enter self-employment as a result of barriers to progress in the wage labour force. They conclude that immigrants on both ends of the schooling spectrum are more likely to enter self-employment. However, the sectors to which each group gravitates are different. Exploring the issue of segmentation, Satzewich and Li (1987) looked at impact of first job on a respondent's job three years later in Canada, and found that the industrial location of an immigrant's first job did affect subsequent attainment. If immigrants operate or dominate different sectors of the economy, it could be an indication of both segmentation and barriers to entry.

Approach:

One possible shortcoming of the research cited above is that the researchers have tended to look at the situation for workers in only a single point in time. Their results have thus been somewhat hampered because they have been unable to explore issues related to change over time. This becomes particularly important in the case of discussions related to self-employment because the move to self-employment is often related to both work experience which is developed over time, and the opportunities available at any given moment.

In an attempt to overcome this problem, we have chosen to use a 'quasi-longitudinal' cohort approach in order to look at the same group of individuals at several points in time. Using information provided by four censuses, the purpose of this paper is to describe the patterns of self-employment of male immigrants who arrived in Canada during the decade and a half following World War II (1946-60). This cohort provides an interesting case study for three reasons. First, this was a period when the policy emphasized family reunification. Thus, the majority of immigrants came to Canada because they had family already living here rather than being drawn specifically on the basis of economic need. Second, it was a period of unprecedented economic growth and the

beginning of the shift towards a service economy, from a manufacturing base. Third, because it is possible to look at this group in four separate decennial census periods (1961, 1971, 1981 and 1991), it is possible to study an entire working life.

Immigration and the labour force:

The immigrants who arrived in the decade and a half following World War II were in many ways unique, being preceded and followed by very different types of immigrant flows. In the years immediately prior to World War II, in part because of the depression, immigration to Canada was low, averaging about sixty-five thousand people a year. The immigrants who entered, were primarily from either the United States or Britain. During the war itself, immigration almost ceased altogether as the country shut its doors to practically everyone. Following the war however, there was a push to increase immigration levels as people sought to leave Europe while relatives living in Canada demanded the right to bring in their family members.

From a policy perspective, there was a growing understanding that immigration would be increasingly urban and that Canada required workers to build the economy and sustain economic growth. It was also acknowledged that immigration from Northern Europe and Britain did not constitute an endless supply, and that there would be competition for immigrants from other settler societies such as Australia, the United States and South America.

Between 1945 to 1961 over two million immigrants entered Canada however the source changed over the decade and a half. Immediately after World War II, British and American citizens were eligible to enter Canada as basically independent immigrants. Migrants from European countries other than the United Kingdom could come to Canada if they were sponsored by a relative already living in Canada (Hawkins, 1988: 89-90). During this period, immigration flow was basically controlled by the regulations defining eligibility and ability of the bureaucracy to administer the paper work. A series of regulatory changes over the fifteen year period acted to steadily expand the eligibility criteria for sponsored immigrants. After each liberalization in the immigration regulations there was a concomitant increase in intake. In 1947, when the definition for sponsorable immigrants was broadened, intake almost doubled, rising from 64,000 to 125,000. When the regulations were modified again in 1950, allowing any permanent resident to sponsor a wider range of relatives, immigration intake rose to almost 200,000.

In general, the immigrants who arrived during this period, were almost always sponsored and overwhelmingly from Europe. However through the 1950s, with changes to the regulations, the flow came increasingly from southern Europe. In 1961, there were 330,619 immigrants living in Montreal, Toronto, Hamilton, Edmonton, Calgary and Vancouver, who had arrived in Canada during the post-war period. Twenty percent were from the UK and an additional 2% were from the United States. The remaining 78% were largely sponsored immigrants from the rest of Europe, primarily Italy (22% of the total intake).

Immigrants from Italy, Greece and Portugal came with very different qualifications as compared to other immigrant groups. While those from Northern Europe often had credentials similar to the

Canadian population, immigrants from Southern Europe generally came with very low levels of schooling. For example, while 10% of Canadian-born males had some university education, this was true of less than 1% of Italian immigrants. In contrast, immigrants from the UK and USA were more likely to have university schooling (15% and 55% respectively). The cohort as a whole was thus split both regionally and educationally.

The majority of post-war immigrants settled in Canada's major urban areas, changing the social and economic landscape of the cities. During this time, Canada had started to move towards a more industrialized and modern society. In 1961, Canada's urban industrial profile was centered on manufacturing and consumer services. Over half of all workers were employed in these two industrial sectors, with almost 30% in manufacturing alone. Thirty years later, the shape of the labour market had changed radically. The manufacturing sector workforce of six major Census Metropolitan Areas (CMAs) dropped steadily by almost half from 29% to 16% of all workers. The service sectors took off as the number of jobs in consumer services increased by three fold to comprise a total of almost one and a half million jobs by 1991. Business services doubled in strength to over four hundred thousand jobs. The rise of the welfare state, which took place during the 1960s and 70s, meant that the number of jobs in social service related industries (health, education and welfare) increased by almost five times over the course of the three decades and went from 9% to 15% of all jobs (see table 1).

Table 1

**Distribution of Jobs by Industry, 6 Selected CMAs
1961, 1971, 1981, 1991**

	1961	1971	1981	1991	% change in number of jobs by sector
TOTAL	2,129,514	3,121,225	4,537,050	5,466,095	257%
Primary	1%	1%	2%	2%	454%
Manufacturing	29%	26%	21%	16%	142%
Construction	8%	7%	6%	6%	211%
Distributive Services	17%	15%	15%	14%	205%
Consumer Services	20%	20%	24%	26%	328%
Business Services	9%	11%	13%	16%	473%
Social Services	8%	14%	13%	15%	446%
Public Administration	6%	6%	6%	6%	260%

Source: 1961, 1971 census base, 1981, 1991 Public Use Samples, individual files.

Note: Population age 15-64 not in school full time

Living in CMAs: Montreal, Toronto, Hamilton, Edmonton, Calgary and Vancouver.

The changes in the industrial profile of the country had a tremendous impact on the skills and educational requirements for the labour force. The new labour force appeared very much dichotomous both in terms of the skills required and the remunerations received (Myles and Fawcett: 1990). Emerging occupations in the health, education and welfare sectors as well as those in business services tended to require far higher levels of schooling than was the case for other sectors. New jobs in consumer services tended to require relatively low levels of both skill and education. The manufacturing sector, which in the past had offered relatively high wages without necessarily requiring high schooling credentials was stagnating, while new jobs in the services sectors were at both the high and low end of the wage-education spectrum (Economic Council of Canada, 1990).

Workers entered and operated within this changing structure, but an individual's role was conditioned by the skills he had and the job openings available at any given time. While low skill-high wage jobs were prevalent at the outset of the period, these jobs were fast disappearing. Thus both immigrant and Canadian-born workers were increasingly entering a highly differentiated labour force. The question asked here, is, to what degree did the choices differ between the two groups, and to what degree was self-employment used as an option by immigrant and Canadian-born males.

The Data:

The ideal dataset for such an exercise is one that contains labour force data for a representative sample of immigrant and Canadian-born workers at several points in time. Such a longitudinal dataset would provide the individual work histories and could be used to measure both location in the labour force and change over time. The problem is that such a database does not yet exist in Canada. An alternative is to like groups or cohorts of individuals which can be tracked down from census to census. In this way it is possible to study the same group of individuals using snapshot data. Although, this approach does not tell us precisely how any given individual has fared from one census to another, it is possible to determine the degree to which an entire cohort of individuals has changed over the period and identify relative changes in the size of the cohort itself with respect to particular labour force positions.

The data used for this analysis is tabular in nature, and is drawn from the 1961 and 1971 census databases, as well as the 1981 and 1991 public use sample individual files. These tables contain similar data breakdowns on the active immigrant and non-immigrant labour-force whose members lived in six Census Metropolitan Areas: Toronto, Montreal, Vancouver, Hamilton, Edmonton and Calgary. Information on a total of 319,816 immigrant and 989,966 Canadian born workers, which were originally reported in 1961, were identified in 1971, 1981 and 1991.³ The information of the census tabulations was broken down by the following categories:

Age (3 categories): 15-24, 25-34, 35-44

Employment Status (2 categories): self-employed, wage labourer

Immigrant status (2 categories): immigrant, Canadian-born (non-immigrant)

Schooling (3 categories): less than grade 8, 9-13 years, university education

1971 Standard Industrial Classifications (SIC) (7 categories)⁴: manufacturing, construction, distribution services, consumer services, business services, social services and public administration.

³. Tables showing dropout rates for each of the cohorts as well as an indication of the degree to which the cohorts have changed in education attribute from one census period to another are available upon request from the authors.

⁴. primary industries were excluded because of the small number of observations.

These tables were then examined using a combination of log-linear modeling and correspondence analysis in order to identify relationships between variables and data structures.

Data Analysis

Looking at Table 2, it can be seen that although the rates of self-employment were somewhat similar to the Canadian born in 1961, as time passed, post-war immigrants were more likely to be both active in the labor force and to be self-employed. There were almost three hundred forty-thousand immigrant males who were active in the labor force in 1961. The proportion of self-employed had increased from 9% in 1961 to 12% in 1971, whereas it had decreased for native-born males from 10% to 8%. By 1991, the rate of self-employment among immigrants was close to 20% while that of natives was only 11%.

Table 2

Class of Worker for Canadian-born and Post-War Immigrant
Cohort Living in the Six CMAs, 1961-1991*

	1961	1971	1981	1991
Canadian Born				
Total	1,054,632	1,494,387	1,812,650	2,155,464
Not Active	6%	6%	6%	7%
Active	94%	94%	94%	93%
Active	989,966	1,407,380	1,712,600	2,001,364
Wage Labour	90%	92%	91%	89%
Self-employed	10%	8%	9%	11%
Post War Immigrants				
Total	330,618	346,820	312,300	196,467
Not Active	3%	3%	3%	12%
Active	97%	97%	97%	88%
Active	319,816	337,130	301,550	173,166
Wage Labour	91%	88%	84%	81%
Self-employed	9%	12%	16%	19%

- Figures refer to the population aged 15-64 not school full time living in Toronto, Montreal, Hamilton, Edmonton, Calgary and Vancouver.
- Sources: 1961 and 1971 Data bases, 1981 and 1991 Public Use Samples Individual Files

At least part of the difference in self-employment rates was a product of age. The degree to which people enter self-employment rises with age. However, while the table shows the immigrant cohort aging over time, it shows data for the total Canadian-born population. It is thus necessary to delve deeper into this puzzle.

Data Analysis

A first step toward understanding the basic structure of our data was to determine the empirical relationships which existed between the five main variables at each census period. For this purpose, a hierarchical five-way interaction log linear model was fitted to each of the tables. In this way we were able to detect, in a summary fashion, the strongest associations present in the data. The partial

X^2 test of significance of main effects and “interaction” terms (presented in Table 1 of the appendix) suggest that the strongest and most statistically significant associations present in the data were the two-way interactions, which in descending order of importance, were the following:

- *Industry and education*: Controlling for other characteristics, the industry-education association was the strongest. Workers with higher levels of schooling were more likely to work in business and social services niches (both as self-employed and wage labourers) than other types of workers. Workers of lower education were more likely to find their industrial niches within the manufacturing, construction and consumer services.
- *Industry and self-employment status*: Self-employed workers were more typical within consumer and business industries while wage labourers were more typical within manufacturing, construction and distribution service industries.
- *Industry and immigrant status*: Overall, immigrants were more likely to work in manufacturing and construction than was the case for Canadian-born workers.
- *Age and self-employment status*: The propensity to be self-employed increased with age.

As a consequence of these dominant two-way relationships, three-way associations between the variables were also found. These were the associations between industry and schooling categories in combination with self-employment status, immigrant status and age respectively. Overall, the partial X^2 suggests that self-employment status tends to co-vary closely with the other variables and with industrial sector and age in particular.

Next we used Correspondence Analysis (CA) to summarize information and relationships between immigrant status-age-education cohorts and industry niches in order to identify concentrations. CA, a multivariate technique based on dual scaling procedures, allows for the examination of the relationships between two nominally scaled variables in a multidimensional space. By determining departures from the independence model through the X^2 statistic, CA expresses relationships between variables and groups as points in a bi-plot (Weller and Romney, 1990). It also partitions the unexplained deviations from independence into orthogonal dimensions (components) of descending order of explanatory power.

One major advantage that correspondence analysis has over other traditional cross-tabular analytical techniques is that it describes associations between variables in a graphical fashion in accordance with a measure of statistical independence such as the X^2 statistic. In doing so it illustrates the underlying relationships between variable categories. Distances can be calculated between points from bi-plots produced by correspondence analysis to approximate the X^2 distances present in the data table. Points that are closer together in the plot are more alike than those that are far apart. By calculating row and column profiles of the table and breaking down the X^2 statistic, points corresponding to cohorts and sectors, thus, may be plotted in a plane spanned by the two major principal components.

To reduce the complexity of the data and increase their interpretability, the original five-way tables were compressed into two-way tables (four in total, one for each census period). The rows of the new tables represent attributes of the age cohorts (age, immigrant status and schooling) while columns represent the type of industrial niche within which cohort members worked (employment status and industry sector).⁵ The basic structure of the compressed two-variable tables (where rows represented objects and columns represented its features) allowed for their statistical manipulation as quasi-proximity matrices. Through the "singular value decomposition" of the data matrices, pairs of age cohorts and industrial niches were identified as points in a plane by principal components axes⁶. As part of its statistical output CA produces plots of row and column profiles in which categories that are similar appear close to each other in the bi-plots. Summary statistics of correspondence analysis' inertia statistics for each of the census tables are presented in table 3.

The total inertia statistic (which ranges from 0 to 1) is a measure of the magnitude of departure from the independence model which is left unexplained. Overall, total inertia represented about a quarter of the data variation. The first data dimension accounted for the large majority of the deviation from independence. It accounted for 61% of the deviation in 1961, 71% in 1971, 76% in 1981 and 80% in 1991. The second dimension, the minor one, ranged from explaining 20% of this deviation in 1961 to only 13% in 1991). The two major dimensions, thus, accounted for the bulk of the total deviations from the independence model. The first dimension captures schooling attributes, with low education on the left of the plane and high education on the right. The second dimension is an industry-class related variable, capturing differences in white (top) versus blue collar (bottom) work.

Table 3: Correspondence Analysis Results

C.A. Results	1961 Census	1971 Census	1981 Census	1991 Census
N of Cases	1,934,035	1,137,095	1,030,169	628,804
X ² Statistic	230,087 *	257,136 *	229,783 *	143,815*
Total Inertia	.247 (100%)	.226 (100%)	.230 (100%)	.238 (100%)
Dimension 1 (education)	.149 (61%)	.160 (71%)	.175 (76%)	.191 (80%)
Dimension 2 (industry sector)	.049 (20%)	.037 (17%)	.035 (16%)	.029 (13%)

*- Significant at the .001 level.

In parentheses: % of total inertia explained by dimension.

Four Bi-plots were generated, one for each of the four census periods. The axes' space has been canonically normalized to represent profiles ranging from -2 to +2 standard deviations. Distances between points reflect propensities of workers to be self-employed or wage labourers in different industry branches. Depending on their row and column profiles, points representing cohorts and


⁵. By statistics Canada definition, there are no self-employed workers in public administration.

⁶ These principal components have similar interpretation as those found in the factor analytical literature.

industrial niches may be found in quadrants I, II, III or IV of the bi-plots.⁷ The positions of the age-education-immigrant status cohorts with respect to the industrial niches in the bi-plots revealed the following patterns:

1961 Census (Figure 1)

In comparison to the relationships expected under the independence model, immigrants with low levels of schooling, regardless of age, sought work as wage labourers in the construction sector. This is reflected by the positions of the star points located at the bottom of quadrant III. Immigrants with moderate schooling, seemed to find self-employment niches in construction and/or as wage labourers in consumer or manufacturing services. Their corresponding points are clustered near the upper portion of quadrant III and the origin of the bi-plot. Canadians with low schooling had similar



⁷. The bi-plots are intended to indicate the proximity of age-education cohorts to industry sectors. Self-employed industry sectors are identified by diamonds and wage labour industry sectors are identified by squares. There are nine age-education cohorts of immigrant distinguished by stars and nine age-education cohorts of Canadian-born workers indicated by triangles.


propensities as the latter group of immigrants.

Canadians of moderate schooling were found to be over-represented as wage labourers and self-employed workers in the distributive services and public administration sectors. As reflections of higher levels of schooling, quadrants I and IV contain larger proportions of highly educated immigrant and Canadian-born workers.

University educated immigrants gravitated towards self-employment in the manufacturing sector. Canadian-born with university schooling found their typical industrial niches as wage labourers in the business and social services sectors.

1971 Census (Figure 2)

In 1971, self-employment in construction and manufacturing industries were the most viable options for immigrants of different levels of schooling. This is geometrically expressed in the bi-plot by new clustering processes taking place in quadrant III. As immigrants age and gain training experience in the host country, more employment options are opened to them. Immigrants of lower education started to move towards self-employment in the construction sector, leaving behind their overwhelming concentration around wage labour in the same sector.




Self-employment in consumer and manufacturing industries are now becoming viable options for immigrants with moderate schooling, regardless of their age of arrival to the country. These immigrants were now closer to wage labour niches which had traditionally been reserved for the Canadian born, such as distributive and consumer services.

In quadrant IV, university educated immigrants moved towards self-employment in the business sector competing with the Canadian-born of comparable schooling.

1981 Census (Figure 3)

Points representing age cohorts and niches are brought closer to the origin suggesting a decrease in the overall deviation from the independence model but also an increase in the separation between Canadian-born and immigrant workers. The configuration of points in the principal axes space is fairly similar to that of 1971. The only change worthwhile noting is that the social service sector becomes increasingly attractive to immigrants with university education, particularly for those who arrived at younger ages (15-24). For some of these immigrants, a "jump" towards self-employment




in the social services also becomes a viable option.

1991 Census (Figure 4)

Only two age cohorts are left at this last observation point. By the end of their working cycle, there is a clear polarization of immigrant cohorts in terms of their positions in the work continuum. In quadrant III, the majority of immigrants with low or moderate levels of schooling end their careers as wage labourers or self-employed workers in construction, manufacturing or consumer services. These workers had few chances to make incursions in the other industrial sectors.

In quadrant IV, most of the university educated immigrants gravitated toward social and business services. Thus, over time, self-employment in these sectors becomes a more realistic career move for these immigrants. Canadian-born workers appear to have more occupational choices than is the case for immigrants.



Euclidean Distance:

A final step of the analysis was to summarize CA results by calculating euclidean distances between age-education-immigrant cohorts and industry niches in order to estimate change between 1961 and 1991. These distances are presented in tables 2a and 2b of the appendix. Smaller euclidean distances are proxies for concentration of age cohorts around a particular industry sector. Of particular interest are shorter distances to self-employment niches because they reveal increased likelihoods for members of these cohorts to make the "jump" from employment to self-employment and vice-versa.⁸

Overall, the configuration of euclidean distances confirmed the patterns observed in the bi-plots. For immigrants with low levels of schooling, self-employment in the construction sector becomes an attractive possibility with the passing of time. Moderately educated immigrants alternated between self-employment opportunities in the manufacturing and consumer services. Highly educated immigrants, who started mostly as social service workers, by 1991 slowly moved towards greater rates of participation as self-employed workers in the business and social services. The latter was reflected in the decreasing distances between their corresponding points and those representing these services.

Discussion

The results of the analysis leads to a series of both theoretical and social policy related conclusions. The findings support the existence of a split labour market based on immigrant status, particularly at the low end of the schooling spectrum. In 1961, immigrants with low levels of schooling were tightly aligned with the wage construction sector, whereas Canadian-born males with less than high school were more likely to gravitate towards consumer services.⁹ Immigrants with high school had more choices and were more likely to be in consumer services, or the self-employed construction sector. Canadian-born males, with high school were more closely aligned with public administration and distributive services.

The close euclidean distance between immigrant groups and the construction sector suggests that this sector represented a strong ethnic niche with immigrants with higher levels of schooling hiring those with lower levels of schooling. The advantage provided by the construction sector was that it offered relatively high wages to workers without the requirement of high levels of schooling. Given that this sector was also an ethnic niche, it had the added advantage of possibly allowing immigrants to work in their own language and cultural milieu.

Immigrants with higher levels of schooling were less likely to work in ethnically dominated niche

⁸. An asterix indicates distances lower than .50.

⁹. At least part of this outcome was related to language ability. About one third of immigrants who were unable to speak an official language worked in the construction sector. However this represented only 12% of immigrant males working in the construction sector.

markets. These immigrants were spread across the growing social services sector as well as self-employed manufacturing. In the same way, Canadian-born males with university schooling were well represented in the social services sector, but also in business services. By 1971, there was a convergence of the construction sector, so that both the self-employed and wage labor sides of the sector were dominated by immigrants with low levels of schooling. Immigrant males with high school and native-born males with low levels of schooling were now more tightly aligned with manufacturing -- a dying sector, and consumer services -- a growth sector. Canadian-born males with high school were clustered around distributive services and public administration, where there was very little growth. People with university schooling, regardless of immigrant status gravitated towards the growth sectors of social and business services. The ten year period between 1961 and 1971 saw a contraction in the distribution of jobs for immigrants with low levels of schooling, but an expansion in the industry distribution for those with higher levels. It also saw immigrants with low levels of schooling starting to move into the self-employed construction sector, after having spent some time in the wage labor construction sector.

The next two decades saw some movement, but overall, there was a consolidation of these positions. However, the industrial base of the major cities had now fully shifted towards the service sectors. The problem was, that the jobs which could offer relatively good pay to people with lower levels of schooling -- those in construction and manufacturing, were stagnating. They were being replaced by jobs with a much tighter education-income relationship. Workers with high school also started clustering in different sectors. As opposed to immigrant males who clustered around manufacturing or self-employed consumer services, Canadian-born workers were clustered around distributive services or the wage labour consumer services sector. Those with university schooling, regardless of immigrant status concentrated in either social services or the self-employed business services sector, both of which were growth sectors. By 1991, post-war immigrants no longer dominated the construction sector. The youngest cohort of Canadian-born workers with low levels of schooling was actually more closely aligned to construction than the immigrant groups.

It appears therefore, that, among workers with lower levels of schooling, there was a split labour market which was maintained over the four census periods. If there was movement, it was often within the same sector, but towards self-employment, for example, a move from wage labour construction to self-employed construction. It is possible therefore that immigrants used these ethnic niches in construction and consumer services as training, so that they could eventually make a move into self-employment.

For those with higher levels of schooling, the situation was somewhat different. Immigrants with university schooling did not show the same propensity to enter self-employment as was seen in those with less education. And, in fact, often university educated Canadian-born workers were at least or more likely to enter self-employment than similarly schooled immigrants. At least part of this is due to the nature of the industry sectors themselves. Some industries within which immigrants were concentrated are simply more conducive to self-employment because the success ladders are short and there is nowhere to go but off on one's own. It is relatively easy, for example to make the jump from wage labour construction to self-employment within the construction sector. The same is true for small scale consumer services where immigrants were also concentrated. In these instance, the

move to self-employment could be a product of constrained choices, but we are unable to determine if the choices were any less constrained for Canadian-born workers in the same sectors, in part because we do not have firm level data. If for example, Canadian-born workers were more concentrated in large scale consumer services such as department stores with a succession ladder, whereas immigrants were more likely to be in small retail stores, it could be an indication both groups are simply taking their most natural progression, given the characteristics of the firm. It could also be an indication that immigrants were unable to enter those larger retail stores.

It is also apparent that the move towards self-employment takes time. During the first census period, the self-employment rate for immigrants was about the same as was the case for Canadian-born workers, however, the proportion of self-employed immigrants increased with each census period. It is possible that those who jump to self-employment were using their time in the wage labour force as a training period. This whole aspect of the shifts in employment pattern is not well studied in Canada, and we can only hint at it here.

Perhaps the final point of interest is that of labour force entry and movement. Immigrant and Canadian-born workers on entry into the labour force, entered jobs which were open at the time of entry. The vast bulk of these workers entered the labour force during the 1950s and 60s which were economic growth periods. Regardless of schooling qualifications, it was often possible to find good jobs in such sectors as manufacturing or construction. Four decades later, these jobs are no longer open to labour market entrants. Both manufacturing and construction are either dying or stagnant industry sectors, replaced by jobs which have a far tighter relationship to schooling credentials such as those in the social or business services. The place of immigrants in Canada's labour force today, is thus by necessity very different.

Bibliography

- Bates, Timothy. 1994. 'Social Resources Generated by Group Support Networks May Not Be Beneficial to Asian Immigrant-Owned Small Businesses' *Social-Forces*; 72, 3, Mar, 671-689.
- Bearse, P. 1984. 'An econometric analysis of black entrepreneurship,' *The Review of Black Political Economy*. vol 12 (4). pp: 111-134.
- Beaujot, R and P. Maxim, J. Zhao. 1994. 'Self-employment among immigrants: a test of the blocked mobility hypothesis,' *Canadian Studies in Population*. vol 21(2). pp: 81-96.
- Bonacich, E. 1973. 'A theory of middleman minorities,' *American Sociological Review*. vol 38 (5). pp: 583-594.
- Borjas, G. 1990. *Friends or Strangers: the impact of immigrants on the US Economy*. New York: Basic Books.
- Campbell, Iain, R. Fincher, and M. Webber. 1991. 'Occupational Mobility in Segmented Labour Markets: The Experience of Immigrant Workers in Melbourne,' *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Sociology* 27, 2, Aug, 172-194.
- Darroch, G. 1979. 'Another look at ethnicity, stratification and social mobility in Canada,' *Canadian Journal of Sociology* 4(1). pp: 1-25.
- Economic Council of Canada. 1990. *Good Jobs, Bad Jobs: Employment in the service economy*. Ottawa: Minister of Supply and Services.
- England, P., G. Farkas, B. Stanek Kilbourne and T. Dou. 1988. 'Explaining occupational sex segregation and wages: findings from a model with fixed effects,' *American Sociological Review*, vol 53 (August). pp: 544-558.
- Hawkins, F. 1988. *Canada and Immigration: public policy and public concern*. Montreal: McGill-Queens University Press.
- Lautard, E and D. Loree. 1984. 'Ethnic Stratification in Canada, 1931-1971'. *Canadian Journal of Sociology* 9(3). pp: 333-344.
- Light, Ivan and C. Rosenstein. *Race, Ethnicity, And Entrepreneurship in Urban America*. New York, NY: Walter de Gruyter, Inc.
- Marger, Martin-N. and C. Hoffman. 1992. 'Ethnic Enterprise in Ontario: Immigrant Participation in the Small Business Sector' *International-Migration-Review*; 26, 3(99), fall, 968-981.

- Maxim, P. 1992. "Immigrants, Visible Minorities and Self-employment," *Demography* 29, 181-198
- Morokvasic, -M. 1991. 'Roads to Independence. Self-Employed Immigrants and Minority Women in Five European States' *International-Migration / Migrations-Internationales / Migraciones-Internationales*; 29, 3, Sept, 407-419.
- Myles, J and G. Fawcett. 1990. Jobs Skills and the Service Economy. Ottawa: Economic Council of Canada.
- Papademetriou, D. et al. 1989. The Effects of Immigration on the US Economy and Labour Market. Immigration Policy and Research Report 1. Washington DC: Us Department of Labour, Bureau of International Labour Affairs.
- Pendakur, R. 1996. 'The changing role of post-war immigrants in Canada's labour force: an analysis across four census periods,' Ottawa: unpublished doctoral dissertation, Sociology and Anthropology, Carleton University.
- Porter, J. 1965. The Vertical Mosaic: an analysis of social class and power in Canada. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Portes, Alejandro. 1987. 'The Social Origins of the Cuban Enclave Economy of Miami' *Sociological-Perspectives*; 30, 4, Oct, 340-372.
- Portes, Alejandro and L. Jensen. 1989. 'The Enclave and the Entrants: Patterns of Ethnic Enterprise in Miami before and after Mariel' *American-Sociological-Review*; 54, 6, Dec, 929-949.
- Portes, Alejandro and Zhou, Min. 1996. 'Self-Employment and the Earnings of Immigrants,' *American-Sociological-Review*; 61, 2, Apr, 219-230.
- Sanders, J. and V. Nee. 1996. Immigrant Self-Employment: The Family as Social Capital and the Value of Human Capital. *American-Sociological-Review*; 61, 2, Apr, 231-249.
- Satzewich, V. and P. Li. 1987. 'Immigrant labour in Canada: the cost and benefit of ethnic origin in the job market,' *Canadian Journal of Sociology*. 12 (3). pp: 229-241.
- Sullivan, T. 1981. 'Sociological views of labour markets: Some missed opportunities and neglected directions,' in I. Berg (editor). Sociological Perspectives on Labour Markets. Toronto: Academic Press. pp: 329-346.
- Weller, S. C and A. Romney. Metric Scaling: Correspondence Analysis, Sage University papers, series 07-075
- Yoon, In Jin. 1995. 'The growth of Korean immigrant entrepreneurship in Chicago,' Ethnic and Racial Studies vol 18 (2). pp: 315-335.

APPENDIX

Table 1. Partial X^2 Tests of Significance of Individual Terms of a Log Linear Saturated Model comprising education, age, immigrant status, self-employment and industrial branch

TERMS OF SATURATED MODEL	df	CENSUS			
		1961	1971	1981	1991
Four Way Interaction Terms					
AGE*SELF*IMSTAT*INDUSTRY	24	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.1
AGE*SELF*IMSTAT*EDUC	8	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
AGE*SELF*INDUSTRY*EDUC	48	0.2	0.2	0.9	0.4
AGE*IMSTAT*INDUSTRY*EDUC	48	0.4	0.5	1.2	0.4
SELF*IMSTAT*INDUSTRY*EDUC	12	0.3	0.5	0.8	0.8
Three Way Interaction Terms					
AGE*SELF*IMSTAT	4	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.0
AGE*SELF*INDUSTRY	24	0.5	0.3	0.4	1.1
AGE*IMSTAT*INDUSTRY	24	0.4	0.6	0.9	0.4
SELF*IMSTAT*INDUSTRY	6	0.2	0.5	1.5	0.5
AGE*SELF*EDUC	8	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.0
AGE*IMSTAT*EDUC	8	0.7	0.9	0.5	0.3
SELF*IMSTAT*EDUC	2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.4
AGE*INDUSTRY*EDUC	48	1.6	3.1	4.6	1.3
SELF*INDUSTRY*EDUC	12	3.3	5.5	6.3	4.5
IMSTAT*INDUSTRY*EDUC	12	2.2	2.0	2.1	1.3
Two Way Interaction Terms					
AGE*SELF	4	22.5	8.5	0.7	0.0
AGE*IMSTAT	4	5.3	18.1	21.3	16.7
SELF*IMSTAT	1	0.7	1.0	2.0	0.5
AGE*INDUSTRY	4	11.6	4.4	5.1	1.3
SELF*INDUSTRY	6	56.3	75.9	84.1	49.0
IMSTAT*INDUSTRY	6	23.4	19.6	15.6	5.4
AGE*EDUC	8	7.9	26.6	26.4	10.4
SELF*EDUC	2	5.7	4.4	6.7	8.4
IMSTAT*EDUC	2	11.2	4.2	6.9	7.9
INDUSTRY*EDUC	12	107.1	159.6	159.2	102.7
Main Effects					
AGE	4	1066.4	1169.2	1077.5	1168.7
SELF	1	756.1	848.6	628.8	319.3
IMSTAT	1	213.7	365.3	307.9	247.9
INDUSTRY	6	369.6	281.1	179.2	78.5
EDUC	2	275.9	213.0	208.5	161.2

*- in thousands. All effects were significant at the .001 level

Symbols: AGE-age, SELF-self employment status, IMSTAT-immigrant status, EDUC-educational levels, INDUSTRY-industrial branch. Total categories: AGE (5), SELF (2), IMSTAT (2), INDUSTRY (7), EDUC (3)

Table 2a

Euclidean Distances between groups and employment / industry sectors in Correspondance Analysis Space

Immigrant status	age cohort	Schooling	Self-employed						Wage Labour						
			Manu- facturing	Construc- tion	Distrib- utive Services	Consumer Services	Business Services	Social Services	Manu- facturing	Construc- tion	Distrib- utive Services	Consumer Services	Business Services	Social Services	Public Admin- istration
1961															
Immigrants	15-25	< 9	1.50	0.89	1.76	1.31	3.28	5.06	0.96	0.12 *	1.65	0.92	2.17	2.34	1.84
		9 - 13	0.73	0.06 *	0.93	0.49 *	2.87	4.85	0.87	0.72	0.83	0.16 *	1.42	1.91	1.01
		University	0.23 *	0.90	0.57	0.50	2.16	4.27	1.74	1.60	0.67	0.98	0.47 *	1.28	0.62
	25-34	< 9	1.49	0.91	1.78	1.32	3.21	4.97	1.06	0.14 *	1.69	0.96	2.15	2.27	1.87
		9 - 13	0.55	0.13 *	0.75	0.30 *	2.76	4.78	1.00	0.91	0.67	0.21 *	1.24	1.81	0.84
		University	0.54	1.16	0.92	0.83	1.80	3.91	2.05	1.77	1.03	1.27	0.36 *	0.93	0.96
	35-44	< 9	1.25	0.67	1.54	1.07	3.05	4.88	0.98	0.14 *	1.45	0.73	1.91	2.10	1.62
		9 - 13	0.45 *	0.23 *	0.67	0.20 *	2.69	4.72	1.09	1.00	0.60	0.31 *	1.14	1.74	0.76
		University	0.81	1.33	1.26	1.07	1.53	3.60	2.24	1.81	1.35	1.46	0.66	0.60	1.30
Canadian born	15-25	< 9	0.97	0.30 *	1.15	0.73	3.06	5.00	0.72	0.52	1.04	0.30 *	1.66	2.09	1.23
		9 - 13	0.52	0.54	0.36 *	0.29 *	2.84	4.92	1.12	1.31	0.24 *	0.50 *	1.09	1.93	0.44 *
		University	0.72	1.39	0.86	0.99	1.90	4.05	2.21	2.08	1.01	1.46	0.04 *	1.17	0.87
	25-34	< 9	0.75	0.15 *	0.86	0.48 *	2.97	4.98	0.78	0.81	0.75	0.01 *	1.44	2.02	0.94
		9 - 13	0.54	0.69	0.22 *	0.38 *	2.82	4.93	1.24	1.46	0.09 *	0.65	1.02	1.93	0.29 *
		University	1.43	1.98	1.81	1.71	0.90	3.02	2.89	2.42	1.93	2.11	1.02	0.31 *	1.84
	35-44	< 9	0.62	0.26 *	0.66	0.33 *	2.91	4.94	0.90	1.01	0.54	0.19 *	1.29	1.96	0.74
		9 - 13	0.53	0.77	0.13 *	0.43 *	2.78	4.89	1.34	1.55	0.05 *	0.74	0.96	1.90	0.20 *
		University	1.74	2.23	2.16	2.00	0.61	2.67	3.14	2.58	2.27	2.37	1.39	0.35 *	2.19
1971															
Immigrants	15-25	< 9	0.89	0.24 *	1.38	0.72	2.62	3.64	1.17	0.30 *	1.71	1.24	2.08	2.37	1.96
		9 - 13	0.27 *	0.82	0.33 *	0.39 *	2.10	3.35	0.13 *	0.76	0.66	0.21 *	1.19	1.87	0.91
		University	1.29	1.84	1.31	1.41	0.80	2.12	1.25	1.85	1.31	1.38	0.47 *	0.61	1.09
	25-34	< 9	0.97	0.34 *	1.49	0.81	2.62	3.61	1.27	0.42 *	1.82	1.36	2.14	2.37	2.05
		9 - 13	0.09 *	0.67	0.51	0.20 *	2.06	3.28	0.27 *	0.62	0.84	0.40 *	1.24	1.82	1.05
		University	1.19	1.68	1.32	1.29	0.80	2.08	1.21	1.70	1.38	1.36	0.66	0.57	1.22
	35-44	< 9	0.60	0.10 *	1.14	0.44 *	2.34	3.41	0.91	0.19 *	1.47	1.01	1.78	2.09	1.69
		9 - 13	0.19 *	0.84	0.41 *	0.35 *	1.93	3.18	0.16 *	0.79	0.71	0.34 *	1.07	1.69	0.89
		University	1.08	1.61	1.18	1.19	0.93	2.22	1.07	1.62	1.23	1.22	0.55	0.71	1.07
Canadian born	15-25	< 9	0.35 *	0.73	0.45 *	0.40 *	2.28	3.52	0.31 *	0.65	0.77	0.30 *	1.37	2.04	1.06
		9 - 13	0.81	1.39	0.24 *	0.96	2.12	3.44	0.48 *	1.32	0.09 *	0.38 *	0.97	1.92	0.43 *
		University	1.55	2.09	1.56	1.67	0.59	1.92	1.50	2.10	1.53	1.63	0.62	0.46 *	1.28
	25-34	< 9	0.36 *	0.83	0.34 *	0.45 *	2.22	3.48	0.23 *	0.75	0.67	0.20 *	1.29	1.99	0.95
		9 - 13	0.78	1.37	0.22 *	0.92	2.08	3.40	0.45 *	1.30	0.12 *	0.36 *	0.95	1.88	0.42 *
		University	1.71	2.17	1.82	1.80	0.29 *	1.60	1.72	2.20	1.84	1.87	0.97	0.09 *	1.62
	35-44	< 9	0.39 *	0.96	0.19 *	0.53	2.10	3.38	0.10 *	0.89	0.52	0.09 *	1.12	1.87	0.78
		9 - 13	0.84	1.44	0.30 *	0.99	2.04	3.36	0.52	1.38	0.08 *	0.44 *	0.88	1.84	0.33 *
		University	1.55	2.04	1.64	1.65	0.46 *	1.78	1.55	2.06	1.65	1.69	0.79	0.27 *	1.43

Table 2b

Euclidean Distances between groups and employment / industry sectors in Correspondance Analysis Space

Immigrant status	age cohort	Schooling	Self-employed						Wage Labour						
			Manu- facturing	Construc- tion	Distrib- utive Services	Consumer Services	Business Services	Social Services	Manu- facturing	Construc- tion	Distrib- utive Services	Consumer Services	Business Services	Social Services	Public Admin- istration
1981															
Immigrants	15-25	< 9	0.71	0.44 *	1.57	0.77	2.61	3.32	1.15	0.36 *	1.79	1.29	2.05	2.27	2.00
		9 - 13	0.34 *	0.43 *	0.70	0.26 *	2.09	2.95	0.27 *	0.52	0.92	0.41 *	1.27	1.81	1.15
		University	1.60	1.95	1.65	1.59	0.41 *	1.23	1.62	1.96	1.72	1.68	0.96	0.08 *	1.37
	25-34	< 9	0.71	0.50	1.62	0.79	2.56	3.25	1.19	0.41 *	1.84	1.34	2.05	2.22	2.03
		9 - 13	0.19 *	0.37 *	0.81	0.11 *	2.04	2.87	0.38 *	0.43 *	1.03	0.53	1.29	1.74	1.21
		University	1.29	1.64	1.32	1.28	0.70	1.57	1.28	1.66	1.41	1.35	0.72	0.41 *	1.09
	35-44	< 9	0.16 *	0.27 *	0.90	0.12 *	2.10	2.92	0.47 *	0.34 *	1.12	0.62	1.38	1.79	1.31
		9 - 13	0.48 *	0.70	0.49 *	0.38 *	1.85	2.73	0.13 *	0.77	0.72	0.27 *	0.98	1.58	0.87
		University	1.30	1.64	1.05	1.26	0.87	1.81	1.13	1.68	1.09	1.14	0.33 *	0.71	0.73
Canadian born	15-25	< 9	0.50	0.56	0.58	0.41 *	2.14	3.02	0.21 *	0.65	0.80	0.30 *	1.25	1.87	1.07
		9 - 13	1.00	1.14	0.08 *	0.90	2.00	2.94	0.45 *	1.22	0.22 *	0.30 *	0.92	1.80	0.60
		University	1.61	1.97	1.52	1.59	0.41 *	1.34	1.55	1.99	1.56	1.59	0.76	0.29 *	1.18
	25-34	< 9	0.52	0.61	0.52	0.43 *	2.10	2.98	0.15 *	0.70	0.74	0.24 *	1.19	1.83	1.01
		9 - 13	1.07	1.23	0.10 *	0.97	1.95	2.89	0.53	1.31	0.12 *	0.38 *	0.85	1.76	0.50
		University	1.63	1.98	1.51	1.61	0.42 *	1.36	1.55	2.01	1.54	1.59	0.73	0.33 *	1.15
	35-44	< 9	0.72	0.90	0.24 *	0.63	1.90	2.82	0.19 *	0.98	0.47 *	0.10 *	0.91	1.66	0.70
		9 - 13	1.19	1.37	0.25 *	1.10	1.86	2.81	0.67	1.46	0.10 *	0.53	0.74	1.70	0.36 *
		University	1.49	1.84	1.35	1.47	0.58	1.51	1.40	1.87	1.39	1.43	0.59	0.43 *	1.01
1991															
Immigrants		< 9	0.94	0.50 *	1.32	0.93	2.36	3.11	0.96	0.32 *	1.67	1.16	1.93	2.13	1.73
		9 - 13	0.39 *	0.54	0.34 *	0.29 *	1.84	2.80	0.03 *	0.71	0.68	0.17 *	1.01	1.72	0.78
		University	1.14	1.78	1.29	1.21	0.42 *	1.43	1.41	1.88	1.43	1.39	0.90	0.38 *	1.05
	25-34	< 9	0.90	0.49 *	1.30	0.90	2.31	3.06	0.94	0.32 *	1.65	1.14	1.89	2.09	1.70
		9 - 13	0.26 *	0.39 *	0.60	0.21 *	1.81	2.71	0.26 *	0.52	0.96	0.45 *	1.19	1.65	0.99
		University	1.21	1.85	1.34	1.27	0.36 *	1.39	1.47	1.95	1.46	1.44	0.91	0.38 *	1.08
Canadian born	15-25	< 9	0.54	0.15 *	0.72	0.48 *	2.09	2.99	0.37 *	0.33 *	1.05	0.55	1.39	1.93	1.16
		9 - 13	0.83	1.08	0.27 *	0.75	1.81	2.85	0.60	1.26	0.11 *	0.40 *	0.68	1.78	0.42 *
		University	1.26	1.91	1.41	1.33	0.30 *	1.33	1.53	2.00	1.52	1.50	0.97	0.33 *	1.14
	25-34	< 9	0.48 *	0.39 *	0.49 *	0.38 *	1.98	2.93	0.16 *	0.57	0.81	0.31 *	1.18	1.85	0.94
		9 - 13	0.73	1.06	0.20 *	0.66	1.68	2.72	0.55	1.24	0.19 *	0.37 *	0.59	1.65	0.32 *
		University	1.32	1.97	1.49	1.40	0.23 *	1.23	1.61	2.05	1.62	1.58	1.07	0.24 *	1.24

Tables of cohort survival rates available upon request:
Fernando Mata or Ravi Pendakur
Research and Business Planning
Multiculturalism Canada
Dept of Canadian Heritage
Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0M5

Working paper series
(back issues)

Number	Author(s)	Title	Date
96-01	James W. Dean & Don J. DeVoretz	The Economic Performance of Jewish Immigrants to Canada: A Case of Double Jeopardy?	5/96
96-02	Kris Olds	Developing the Trans-Pacific Property Market: Tales from Vancouver via Hong Kong	8/96
96-03	Krishna Pendakur & Ravi Pendakur	The Colour of Money: Earnings Differentials Among Ethnic Groups in Canada	4/96
96-04	Alan Green David Green	The Economic Goals of Canada's Immigration Policy, Past and Present	
97-01	John E. Hayfron	Language Training, Language Proficiency and Earnings of Immigrants: Lessons from Norway	2/97
97-02	Daniel Hiebert	The Colour of Work: Labour Market Segmentation in Montreal, Toronto and Vancouver, 1991	3/97
97-03	Abul Shamsuddin & Don J. DeVoretz	Wealth Accumulation of Canadian and Foreign-Born Households in Canada	6/97
97-04	Abul F. M. Shamsuddin	The Double-Negative Effect on the Earnings of Foreign-Born Females in Canada	6/97
97-05	Abul Shamsuddin	Savings, Tax Contributions and Australian Immigration	6/97
97-06	Peter Sheldon	Estimation of Labour Market Participation Rates for Canadian-Born and Foreign-born Families Resident in the Vancouver Census Metropolitan Area Circa 1991	8/97
97-07	John E. Hayfron	Estimating Immigrants' Occupational Choice and Occupational Wages with Selectivity Bias	9/97
97-08	David Ley & Heather Smith	Is there an immigrant "underclass" in Canadian cities?	10/97
97-09	Dominique Gross	Immigration Flows and Regional Labour Market Dynamics	10/97
97-10	Krishna Pendakur & Ravi Pendakur	Speak and Ye Shall Receive: Language Knowledge as Human Capital	11/97

Working paper series

Number	Author (s)	Title	Date
98-01	Karl Froschauer	East Asian Immigrant Entrepreneurs in Vancouver: Provincial Preference and Ethnic Strategy	01/98
98-02	June Beynon & Kelleen Toohey	Careers in Teaching: Participation Rates and Perceptions of Two Minority Groups in British Columbia	01/98
98-03	Iris Geva-May	Immigration to Israel: Any Lessons for Canada?	01/98
98-04	Rebeca Raijman & Moshe Semyonov	Best of Times, Worst of Times, and Occupational Mobility: The Case of Russian Immigrants in Israel	01/98
98-05	Fernando Mata & Ravi Pendakur	Immigration, Labour Force Integration and the Pursuit of Self-employment	01/98
98-06	Gordon Dicks & Arthur Sweetman	Education and Ethnicity in Canada	
98-07	Samuel A. Laryea	Immigrant Wages in Canada	

Back issues of working papers are available for \$5 from

Vancouver Centre of Excellence: Immigration, WMX4653, Simon Fraser University, 8888 University Drive, Burnaby, B.C, Canada V5A 1S6. Tel: (604) 291-4575 Fax: (604) 291-5336

E-mail: riim@sfu.ca

<http://www.riim.metropolis.globalx.net/>